

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/752,575		12/29/2000	James Hermerding	042390.P9249	1629
8791	7590	12/13/2005		EXAMINER	
		OFF TAYLOR & 2	YANCHUS III, PAUL B		
12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD SEVENTH FLOOR				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
LOS ANGELES, CA 90025-1030			2116		

DATE MAILED: 12/13/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/752,575	HERMERDING ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Paul B. Yanchus	2116
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim iill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. C (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 Second 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.      Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under Expensive to communication(s) filed on 29 Second 2	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	•
Disposition of Claims		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicated any not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b)  objected to by the l drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sec ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 09/752,575

Art Unit: 2116

## **DETAILED ACTION**

This final office action is in response to amendments filed on 9/29/05.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-7 and 10-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Godfrey, US Patent no. 6,091,255, in view of Bealkowski et al., US Patent no. 6,378,027 [Bealkowski].

Regarding claim 1, Godfrey discloses a method of managing power in a computer system, comprising:

operating the computer system at a first CPU [existing task operating on processor with highest respective temperature, column 5, lines 57-60];

receiving a first signal generated by a thermal sensor within the first CPU [column 5, lines 48-51];

selecting a second CPU to receiver a workload of the first CPU based on the first signal [re-assign existing process to processor with lowest respective temperature, column 5, lines 57-60column 5, lines 57-60];

distributing the workload between the first CPU and the second CPU [column 5, lines 57-60]; and

Application/Control Number: 09/752,575

Art Unit: 2116

resuming operation of the computer system at the first CPU and the second CPU [column 5, lines 57-60].

Godfrey does not disclose that the operating system controls the selecting a second CPU to receive a workload of the first CPU. Godfrey instead discloses that a control unit controls the selecting a second CPU to receive a workload of the first CPU. Bealkowski discloses an operating system which controls selecting of a first processor to operate a system and selecting of second processor to operate the system when the first processor is in an abnormal operating condition [column 4, lines 5-16 and 66-67 and column 5, lines 1-4]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the Godfrey method to enable the operating system, instead of a control unit, to control the selecting of a second CPU to receive a workload of the first CPU in order to simplify the system by eliminating the need for a separate control unit hardware to execute along with the processors.

Regarding claims 2 and 3, Godfrey further discloses determining the processor with lowest respective temperature. It would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art that the CPU processor with lowest respective temperature is the least recently used CPU.

Regarding claim 4, Godfrey further discloses that the method may be carried on a system with a three processor configuration [Figure 4].

Regarding claim 5, Godfrey discloses a computer system comprising:

a first central processing unit (CPU) [processor with highest respective temperature, column 5, lines 57-60]; and

a second CPU [processor with lowest respective temperature, column 5, lines 57-60],

wherein the operation of the computer system is transferred from the first CPU to the second CPU upon the first CPU reaching a predetermined power threshold [re-assign existing process to processor with lowest respective temperature from processor with highest respective temperature, column 5, lines 57-60column 5, lines 50-60].

Godfrey does not disclose that the operating system controls the selecting a second CPU to receive a workload of the first CPU. Godfrey instead discloses that a control unit controls the selecting a second CPU to receive a workload of the first CPU. Bealkowski discloses an operating system which controls selecting of a first processor to operate a system and selecting of second processor to operate the system when the first processor is in an abnormal operating condition [column 4, lines 5-16 and 66-67 and column 5, lines 1-4]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the Godfrey method to enable the operating system, instead of a control unit, to control the selecting of a second CPU to receive a workload of the first CPU in order to simplify the system by eliminating the need for a separate control unit hardware to execute along with the processors.

Regarding claim 6, Godfrey further discloses that the processors each include a thermal sensor [Figure 4].

Regarding claim 7, Godfrey further discloses that operation of the computer system is transferred from the first CPU to the second CPU upon the thermal sensor within the first CPU measuring the predetermined power threshold [re-assign existing process to processor with lowest respective temperature from processor with highest respective temperature, column 5, lines 57-60column 5, lines 50-60].

Application/Control Number: 09/752,575 Page 5

Art Unit: 2116

Regarding claims 10 and 11, Godfrey further discloses determining the processor with lowest respective temperature. It would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art that the CPU processor with lowest respective temperature is the least recently used CPU.

Claims 8, 9 and 12-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Godfrey, US Patent no. 6,091,255 and Bealkowski et al., US Patent no. 6,378,027 [Bealkowski], in view of Applicant's Admitted Prior Art [AAPA].

Godfrey and Bealkowski, as described above, disclose a method and system for managing power in computer system. Godfrey and Bealkowski do not explicitly disclose a cooling system comprising a heat pipe, heat exchanger and a cooling fan. However, the AAPA states that a microprocessor cooling system comprising a heat pipe, heat exchanger and a cooling fan is well known in the art [page 2, lines 13-20]. Therefore the advantages of using the cooling system are well known in the art and it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the well known cooling system disclosed by the AAPA in the system taught by Godfrey and Bealkowski.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-16 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 09/752,575 Page 6

Art Unit: 2116

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul B. Yanchus whose telephone number is (571) 272-3678. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs 8:00-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynne H. Browne can be reached on (571) 272-3670. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Paul Yanchus December 8, 2005 LYNNE H. BROWNE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100